

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/624,307 | | 07/22/2003 | Andrew Joy | TI-32316 | 7812 | |
| 23494 | 7590 · | 10/16/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 | | | | TRAN, KHAI | | |
| DALLAS, | | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 2611 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006 | 6 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | j |
|--|---|---|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Office Asticus Communication | 10/624,307 | JOY ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | KHAI TRAN | 2611 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 J | ulv 2003. | | |
| | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | nce except for formal matters, pro | osecution as to the merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,15 and 27</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-14,16-26</u> is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | • • • | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | ed in this National Stage | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | |
| | • | • | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | |
| 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/6/2004</u> . | 6) Other: | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/624,307 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1-5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-7, 9-14 and 16-26 are objected to because of the following informalities: Appropriate correction is required.

The word "said" should be deleted in the lines 11, 12, 19, 21 of claim 1; line 10 of claim 2; line 7 of claim 6; line 14 of claim 12; lines 9, 10, 15, 17 of claim 16; line 10 of claim 17; line 6 of claim 20; line 1 of claim 21; line 14 of claim 24.

The dependent claims above are also objected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 8, 15, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 8, line 3, the term "a, or the, fourth clock signal" is not clear.

Regarding claim 15, line 3, the phrase "each phase adjustment circuit is a clock adjustment circuit as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 11" is indefinite because each phase adjustment circuit is a clock adjustment circuit is not claimed in any one of claims 1 to 11.

Regarding claim 27, line 3, the phrase "claims 61 to 68" is not clear since there is no preceding claims 61 to 68.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 8, 15, 27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the prior art of the record discloses or suggests that a clock aligning circuit comprising: phase comparison means for providing an indication of whether the closest edge of a particular kind of the first clock signal to an edge of a particular kind of the second clock signal is earlier or later than that edge, the kinds of edges being positive going or negative going and the ones of the first and second clock signals being of the same kind or of different kinds; and third clock signal providing means, for providing the third clock signal from the second clock signal, comprising latching means, for latching the second clock signal, operable to latch and delay the second clock signal by such an amount, dependent on the indication from the phase comparison means, that the resulting third clock signal has an edge, either positive or negative going, aligned with the closest edge of the first clock signal as recited in claims 1 and 16; the

Art Unit: 2611

circuit comprising a plurality of phase adjustment circuits, each for so producing the word clock for a respective one of the data streams in response to a word clock for another one of the data streams and the bit clock for its own data stream that the word clock produced has an edge of a particular kind aligned with the edge of a particular kind of the bit clock for its own data stream that is closest to an edge of a particular kind of the word clock for the other data stream, wherein one of the data streams is a master data stream and the circuit comprises means for producing the word clock for that data stream from its bit clock without reference to the phase of the word clocks of the other data streams as recited in claims 12 and 24.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ishida (U.S. Pat. 5,506,796) discloses a digital signal processing circuit.

Kim et al (US 2002/0196885 A1) disclose determining phase relationships using

digital phase values.

Migita (U.S. Pat. 6,526,106) discloses a synchronization circuit.

Lysdal et al (U.S. Pat. 6,973,151) disclose dynamic phase aligning interface.

Dedic et al (U.S. Pat. 7,065,168) disclose a jitter reduction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,307 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAY PATEL can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KHAI TRAN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2611

KT October 10, 2006